## Questions asked during the Uncaging the data in your institution: Stepping beyond GDPR webinar

1. What is the killer app that best shows off this approach? Reporting, integration, applications consolidation?
2. Do you have any end-to-end process examples where this has been put to use?
3. To what extent do you have definitions of the elements in the data model / To what extent do you have definitions of the elements in the data model (these are provided for CAUDIT but not UCISA) and how valuable are they (sorry asked anon before)
4. You seemed critical of the UCISA Capability model, how would you improve it? *[SP: I think they mean the Data Model not the Capability Model]*
5. What are the main challenges you have faced in educating people into this way of thinking? *[SP: you replied live to this one]*
6. I'm interested to know whether you have been sharing these diagrams any wider than the architecture team and what responses you've had. *[SP: similar to the question above]*
7. Do you work with your Data Protection Officer? E.g. to complete the requirement to track where data flows through the organisation.
8. Is the Data Team working on this part of IT or the wider the university and what is its remit?
9. Should be be looking from the Value Stream from the start and building the To-Be Architecture Model and look at the current User Journeys which touch on the To-Be areas that need to be addressed? *[SP: I guess the underlying question is how much data architecture do you need to do before you get value from it? Maybe a”just enough” approach to architecture]*
10. How long has this taken you to evolve?
11. Aside from Archimate, did you use any other tools? For Data lineage or data catalogue for example. / *[SP: Archimate isn’t a tool it is a notation. Maybe talk about what tools you use for your Archimate modelling?]*
12. To what extent and how do you expose the architectural artefacts to which audiences (Visio diagrams, systems, etc.)
13. I find that there are a number of barriers to creating composite, integrated data objects - e.g. your example of a "Person": - this can start with GDPR, but also in terms of who is allowed to access what, to what end, partial anonymisation/pseudonymisation. Where/how do we model or control that layer around governance AFTER the data has been "uncaged"
14. Regarding the capability model, perhaps the CoP could arrange a session for people to contribute suggestions for improving the model? *[SP: Lex, this is one for us to pick up on. Unfortunately I haven’t got the name of the person asking the question]*
15. No mention of as-is, to-be can getting from one to the other, are all your models to-be?